EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION EBPOПЕЙСКАЯ И СРЕДИЗЕМНОМОРСКАЯ ОРГАНИЗАЦИЯ ПО ЗАЩИТЕ РАСТЕНИЙ ORGANIZATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES

20-25870 (00/8184)

PEST RISK ASSESSMENT SCHEME

Taxonomic studies have concluded that *Aeolesthes sarta* should be transferred to the genus *Trirachys*, and thus called *Trirachys sartus* (Vitali *et al.*, 2017). The pest is now listed under this name on the EPPO A2 List. The content of the PRA has not been changed.

Organism:	Aeolesthes sarta Solsky (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae)
Assessor(s):	EPPO Secretariat
Date:	03 – 05 January 2000
Approximate time spent on the assessment	15 hours
Approximate time spent on the assessment	15 hours

PEST RISK ASSESSMENT

STAGE 1: INITIATION		
Identify pest		
This section examines the identity of the pest to ensure that the assessmen and other information used in the assessment is relevant to the organism in		erformed on a real identifiable organism and that the biological
1. Is the organism clearly a single taxonomic entity and can it be adequately distinguished from other entities of the same rank? if yes go to 3 if no go to 2	Yes	
2. Attempt to redefine the taxonomic entity so that the criteria under 1 are satisfied. Is this possible? if yes go to 3 if no go to 22	Not applicable	
The PRA area The PRA area can be a complete country, several countries or part(s) of or	a on gayana	Laguatrias
The PRA area can be a complete country, several countries or part(s) of on 3. Clearly define the PRA area. go to 4	ie or severai	The PRA area is the European part of the EPPO region
Earlier analysis		
The pest, or a very similar pest, may have been subjected to the PRA proceed the need for a new PRA.	ess before, 1	nationally or internationally. This may partly or entirely replace
4. Does a relevant earlier PRA exist? if yes go to 5 if no go to 7	No	
5. Is the earlier PRA still entirely valid, or only partly valid (out of date, applied in different circumstances, for a similar but distinct pest)? if entirely valid End if partly valid go to 6 if not valid go to 7	applicable	
6. Proceed with the assessment, but compare as much as possible with the earlier assessment. go to 7		

STAGE 2: PEST RISK ASSESSMENT Section A: Pest categorization (qualitative criteria of a quarantine pest)	
Geographical criteria This section considers the geographic distribution of the pest in the PRA and		
7. Does the pest occur in the PRA area? if yes go to 8 if no go to 9	No	
8. Is the pest of limited distribution in the PRA area? Note: "of limited distribution" means that the pest has not reached the limits of its potential range either in the field or in protected conditions; it is not limited to its present distribution by climatic conditions or hostplant distribution. There should be evidence that, without phytosanitary measures, the pest would be capable of additional spread. if yes go to 18 if no go to 22	Not applicable	
Potential for establishment For the pest to establish, it must find a widely distributed host plant in the hosts or recorded only under experimental conditions). If it requires a introduced. The pest must also find environmental conditions suitable foundations.	vector, a	suitable species must be present or its native vector must be
9. Does at least one host plant grow to a substantial extent in the PRA area, in the open, in protected conditions or both? if yes go to 10 if no go to 22	Yes	Many host plants of A. sarta are grown in the PRA area including many species of Ulmus, Populus, Salix, Platanus Malus, Prunus, Pyrus, Juglans, Quercus, Betula, Fraxinus Acer, Morus, Gleditsia, Robinia, Elaeagnus and many othe hardwoods and fruit trees.
10. Does the pest have to pass part of its life cycle on a host plant other than its major host (i.e. obligate alternate host plant)? if yes go to 11 if no go to 12	No	
11. Does the alternate host plant also occur in the same part of the PRA area as the major host plant? if yes go to 12 if no go to 22	Not applicable	

12. Does the pest require a vector (i.e. is vector transmission the	No	
only means of dispersal)?		
if yes go to 13		
if no go to 14		
13. Is the vector (or a similar species which is known or suspected	Not	
to be a vector) present in the PRA area or likely to be introduced. If		
in doubt, a separate assessment of the probability of introduction of		
the vector (in section B1) may be needed?		
if yes go to 14		
if no go to 22		
14. Does the known geographical distribution of the pest include	Yes	Because of the hot and dry climatic conditions in its countries
ecoclimatic zones comparable with those of the PRA area?		of origin and present distribution, the pest is most likely to
if yes go to 18		establish in Mediterranean countries of the EPPO region where
if no go to 15		its host plants are important forest, fruit and ornamental trees.
15. Is it probable, nevertheless, that the pest could survive and	Not	
thrive in a wider ecoclimatic zone that could include the PRA area?	applicable	
if yes go to 18		
if no go to 16		
16. Could the ecoclimatic requirements of the pest be found in	Not	
protected conditions in the PRA area?	applicable	
if yes go to 17		
if no go to 22		
17. Is a host plant grown in protected conditions in the PRA area?	Not	
if yes go to 18	applicable	
if no go to 22		

Potential economic importance

Economic impact principally concerns direct damage to plants but may be considered very broadly, to include also social and environmental aspects. The effect of the presence of the pest on exports from the PRA area should also be allowed for.

In deciding whether economically important damage or loss to plants may occur, it is necessary to consider whether climatic and cultural conditions in the PRA area are conducive to damage expression, which is not always the case even if both host and pest survive under these conditions.

Note: when performing a PRA on a pest that is transmitted by a vector, consider also any possible damage that the vector may cause.

		. 7 1 0
18. With specific reference to the host plant(s) which occur(s) in the	Yes	A. sarta significantly damages many species of Ulmus, Salix,
PRA area, and the parts of those plants which are damaged, does the		Populus, Platanus, Malus, Prunus, Pyrus, Juglans, Quercus,
pest in its present range cause significant damage or loss?		and other hardwoods and fruit trees in Central Asia.
if yes go to 21		
if no go to 19		
19. Could the pest, nevertheless, cause significant damage or loss in	Not	
the PRA area, considering ecoclimatic and other factors for damage	applicable	
expression?		
if yes go to 21		
if no go to 20		
20. Would the presence of the pest cause other negative economic	Not	
impacts (social, environmental, loss of export markets)?	applicable	
if yes go to 21		
if no go to 22		

21. This pest could present a risk to the PRA area

Go To Section B

22. This pest does not qualify as a quarantine pest for the PRA area and the assessment can stop

However, if this is the first time that the decision-making scheme has directed you to this point, it may be worth returning to the question that led you here and continuing through the scheme in case the remaining questions strongly indicate categorization as a possible quarantine pest. In this latter case, seek a second opinion to decide whether the answers which led you to this point could be given a different reply.

Section B: Quantitative evaluation

The second part of the risk assessment process firstly estimates the probability of the pest being introduced into the PRA area (its entry and establishment) and secondly makes an assessment of the likely economic impact if that should happen. From these two aspects, it should be possible to consider the level of "pest risk" presented by the pest; this can then be used in the pest risk management phase to decide whether it is necessary to take phytosanitary measures to prevent the introduction of the pest, or if the measures chosen are appropriate for the level of risk. The questions in this section require an evaluation from minimum probability or impact (1) to maximum probability or impact (9). This must be done by an expert who can make an estimate according to the information provided (following the format of the check-list of EPPO (OEPP/EPPO, 1993a) and also according to comparison with other pests.

Answer as many of the following questions as possible, insofar as they are relevant to the pest concerned. If you cannot answer a particular question, do not give any score. Note whether this is because of lack of information or because the question is irrelevant to the pest concerned.

Questions marked with an asterisk (*) are to be considered as more important than the others in the same section.

1. Probability of introduction

Introduction, as defined by the FAO Glossary of Phytosanitary Terms, is the entry of a pest resulting in its establishment.

Entry		
List the pathways that the pest could be carried on.		A. sarta is associated with wood, either as eggs or early instar
Note: a pathway can be any form of human activity that could transport		larvae under bark or as late stage larvae, pupae or adults within
the pest from a particular origin: e.g. plants and plant products moving in		the wood itself. A. sarta is unlikely to be transported in
trade, any other traded commodity, containers and packing, ships, planes,		planting material or cut branches since the species does not
trains, road transport, passengers, mail, etc. Note that similar means of		attack the small branches or trunks. Adults may, however, be
pest transport from different origins can present greatly different		resting on the surface of such material.
probabilities of introduction, depending on the concentration of the pest		In decreasing order of risk, main pathways for A. sarta may be:
in the area of origin. The pathways given should be only those already in		1. Untreated dunnage and packing material
operation, or proposed.		2. Untreated wood of host plants
		3. Host plants for planting and cut branches
11 11		4. Ships, planes, trains, road transports
1.1 How many pathways could the pest be carried on?	3	
few = 1		
many = 9		
1.2 For each pathway, starting with the most important pathway identified above (i.e. that which carries the greatest trade or which is		
most likely to act as a means of introduction) and then in descending		
order of importance, answer questions 1.3 – 1.13. If one of the		
questions 1.3a, 1.5a, 1.7a or 1.12a is answered by 'no', the pathway		
could not act as a means of entry for the pest, and the scheme will		
return directly to this point, omitting later questions. Use expert		
judgement to decide how many pathways to consider.		
Go to 1.3		

1.3a Could the pest be associated with the pathway at origin?	Yes	Untreated dunnage and packing material
Note: does the pest occur in the area of origin? Is the pest in a life stage	Yes	Untreated wood of host plants
which would be associated with commodities, containers, or	Yes	Host plants for planting and cut branches
conveyances?	Yes	Ships, planes, trains, road transports
if yes go to 1.3b	165	Simps, planes, trains, road transports
if no go to 1.2		
1.3b How likely is the pest to be associated with the pathway at	5	Untreated dunnage and packing material
origin?	5 5	Untreated wood of host plants
	3	
[i.e. are all areas infested or highly infested; will every consignment or	2 2	Host plants for planting and cut branches
part of it be infested?]	2	Ships, planes, trains, road transports
not likely = 1		
very likely = 9		
1.4 Is the concentration of the pest on the pathway at origin	7	Untreated dunnage and packing material
likely to be high?	7	Untreated wood of host plants
[i.e. will there be many individuals associated with the consignment?]	2	Host plants for planting and cut branches
not likely = 1	2	Ships, planes, trains, road transports
very likely = 9		
1.5a Could the pest survive existing cultivation or commercial	Yes	Untreated dunnage and packing material
practices?	Yes	Untreated wood of host plants
<u>Note</u> : these are practices mainly in the country of origin, such as pesticide	Yes	Host plants for planting and cut branches
application, removal of substandard produce, kiln-drying of wood.	Yes	Ships, planes, trains, road transports
if yes go to 1.5b		
if no go to 1.2		
1.5b How likely is the pest to survive existing cultivation or	5	Untreated dunnage and packing material
commercial practices?	5	Untreated wood of host plants
$not\ likely = \bar{l}$	5	Host plants for planting and cut branches
very likely = 9	5	Ships, planes, trains, road transports
1.6 How likely is the pest to survive or remain undetected during		For most of these pathways, inspection is the only
existing phytosanitary procedures?		phytosanitary measure likely to be consistently applied.
Note: existing phytosanitary measures (e.g. inspection, testing or	7	Untreated dunnage and packing material
treatments) are most probably being applied as a protection against other	8	Untreated wood of host plants
(quarantine) pests; the assessor should bear in mind that such measures	4	Host plants for planting and cut branches
could be removed in the future if the other pests were to be re-evaluated.	6	Ships, planes, trains, road transports
The likelihood of detecting the pest during inspection or testing will	-	r · r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
depend on a number of factors including:		
• ease of detection of the life stages which are likely to be present. Some		
stages are more readily detected than others, for example insect adults		
may be more obvious than eggs;		
• location of the pest on the commodity. Surface feeders are more		
readily detected than internal feeders;		
 symptom expression - many diseases may be latent for long periods, at 		
symptom expression - many diseases may be talent for long periods, at		

certain times of the year, or may be without symptoms in some hosts o	r	
cultivars and virulent in others;		
• distinctiveness of symptoms - the symptoms might resemble those of	f	
other pests or sources of damage such as mechanical or cold injury;		
• the intensity of the sampling and inspection regimes;		
• distinguishing the pest from similar organisms.		
not likely = 1		
$very\ likely = 9$		
1.7a Could the pest survive in transit?	Yes	Untreated dunnage and packing material
<u>Note</u> : consideration should be given to:	Yes	Untreated wood of host plants
• speed and conditions of transport;	Yes	Host plants for planting and cut branches
• vulnerability of the life-stages likely to be transported;	Yes	Ships, planes, trains, road transports
• whether the life cycle is of sufficient duration to extend beyond time in		
transit;		
• the number of individuals likely to be associated with a consignment.		
Interception data can be used to estimate the ability of a pest to survive in	i	
transit.		
if yes go to 1.7b		
if no go to 1.2		
1.7b How likely is the pest to survive in transit?	7	Untreated dunnage and packing material
not likely = 1	7	Untreated wood of host plants
$very\ likely = 9$	4	Host plants for planting and cut branches
	3	Ships, planes, trains, road transports
1.8 Is the pest likely to multiply during transit?	1	Untreated dunnage and packing material
not likely = 1	1	Untreated wood of host plants
$very\ likely = 9$	1	Host plants for planting and cut branches
	1	Ships, planes, trains, road transports
1.9 How large is movement along the pathway?	2	Untreated dunnage and packing material (rather uncertain)
[i.e. how much trade?]	2 2 2 5	Untreated wood of host plants (rather uncertain)
$not \ large = 1$	2	Host plants for planting and cut branches (rather uncertain)
very large = 9		Ships, planes, trains, road transports
1.10 How widely is the commodity to be distributed throughout	t 7	Untreated dunnage and packing material (rather uncertain)
the PRA area?	3	Untreated wood of host plants (rather uncertain)
Note: the more scattered the destinations, the more likely it is that the pes	t 2	Host plants for planting and cut branches (rather uncertain)
<i>might find suitable habitats.</i>	5	Ships, planes, trains, road transports
	3	Simps, planes, trains, road transports
not widely = 1	3	Simps, planes, trains, foad transports

1.11 How widely spread in time is the arrival of different consignments? Note: introduction at many different times of the year will increase the probability that entry of the pest will occur at a life stage of the pest or the host suitable for establishment. not widely = 1 very widely = 9	5 5 8	Untreated dunnage and packing material (rather uncertain) Untreated wood of host plants (rather uncertain) Host plants for planting and cut branches (rather uncertain) Ships, planes, trains, road transports
1.12a Could the pest transfer from the pathway to a suitable host? Note: consider innate dispersal mechanisms or the need for vectors, and	Yes Yes	Untreated dunnage and packing material Untreated wood of host plants
how close the pathway on arrival is to suitable hosts.	Yes	Host plants for planting and cut branches
if yes go to 1.12b if no go to 1.2	Yes	Ships, planes, trains, road transports
1.12b How likely is the pest to be able to transfer from the pathway	3	Untreated dunnage and packing material
to a suitable host?	3	Untreated wood of host plants
not likely = 1	5 2	Host plants for planting and cut branches
very likely = 9 1.13 Is the intended use of the commodity (e.g. processing,	3	Ships, planes, trains, road transports Untreated dunnage and packing material
consumption, planting, disposal of waste) likely to aid introduction?	3	Untreated wood of host plants
Note: consider whether the intended use of the commodity would destroy	6	Host plants for planting and cut branches
the pest or whether the processing, planting or disposal might be done in	1	Ships, planes, trains, road transports
the vicinity of suitable hosts.		
not likely = 1		
very likely = 9		
Establishment		
1.14 How many host-plant species are present in the PRA area?	8	Almost all host plants of A. sarta are present in the PRA area,
one or very few = 1	0	including Ulmus, Populus, Salix, Platanus, Malus, Prunus,
many = 9		Pyrus, Juglans, and many other hardwoods and fruit trees.
1.15 How extensive are the host plants in the PRA area?	8	Host plants of A. sarta are widely distributed in the PRA area
rare = 1		both in forests and in amenity planting in towns
widespread = 9	3.7	
1.16 If an alternate host is needed to complete the life cycle, how		
extensive are such host plants in the PRA area? rare = 1	applicable	
rare = 1 widespread = 9		
mucopreud = 2]	

1.17 *IIf a vector is needed for dispersal, how likely is the pest to become associated with a suitable vector? Note: is the vector present in the PRA area, could it be introduced or could another vector be found? not likely = 1 very likely = 9 1.18 Has the pest been recorded on crops in protected conditions elsewhere? (Answer this question only if protected cultivation is important in the PRA area.) no = 1	applicable Not	
often = 9 1.19 How likely are wild plants (i.e. plants not under cultivation, including weeds, volunteer plants, feral plants) to be significant in dispersal or maintenance of populations? not likely = 1 very likely = 9	9	Suitable host species are widely present in the PRA area and maintain themselves by natural regeneration.
1.20 *How similar are the climatic conditions that would affect pest establishment in the PRA area and in the area of origin? Note: the climatic conditions in the PRA area to be considered may include those in protected cultivation. not similar = 1 very similar = 9	7	South of the EPPO region has a similar climatic conditions (hot and dry) with the area of origin and present distribution of the pest.
1.21 How similar are other abiotic factors in the PRA area and in the area of origin? Note: the major abiotic factor to be considered is soil type; others are, for example, environmental pollution, topography/orography. not similar = 1 very similar = 9	5	Although there is evidence to indicate that some soils in the present range of the pest are likely to induce stress in tree species (e.g. because of high salinity) and thus assist <i>A. sarta</i> to attack its hosts, in general, abiotic factors would not be a constraint to successful establishment of <i>A. sarta</i> .
1.22 How likely is the pest to have competition from existing species in the PRA area for its ecological niche? very likely = 1 not likely = 9	8	The native cerambycids are only locally common on the same host plants and it is unlikely that they would pose significant competition to <i>A. sarta</i> .
1.23 How likely is establishment to be prevented by natural enemies already present in the PRA area? very likely = 1 not likely = 9	8	Generalist natural enemies, such as predatory beetles and birds could have a minor influence on <i>A. sarta</i> populations before the larval stages enter the wood;, thereafter, they are well protected from most natural enemies.

-

¹ Questions marked with an asterisk are to be considered as more important than the others in the same section.

1.24 *If there are differences in the crop environment in the PRA area to that in the area of origin, are they likely to aid establishment? Note: factors that should be considered include time of year that the crop is grown, soil preparation, method of planting, irrigation, whether grown under protected conditions, surrounding crops, management during the growing season, time of harvest, method of harvest, etc. not likely = 1 very likely = 9	5	Any differences in forestry or horticultural practices are unlikely to influence establishment
1.25 Are the control measures which are already used against other pests during the growing of the crop likely to prevent establishment of the pest? very likely = 1 not likely = 9	8	There are almost no active measures carried out against insects attacking host plants of <i>A. sarta</i> in the PRA area
1.26 *Is the reproductive strategy of the pest and duration of life cycle likely to aid establishment? Note: consider characteristics which would enable the pest to reproduce effectively in a new environment, such as parthenogenesis/self-crossing, duration of the life cycle, number of generations per year, resting stage, etc. not likely = 1 very likely = 9	5	
1.27 How likely are relatively low populations of the pest to become established? not likely = 1 very likely = 9	2	
1.28 How probable is it that the pest could be eradicated from the PRA area? very likely = 1 not likely = 9	8	The experience of <i>A. sarta</i> control in its present area shows that it is very difficult to eradicate the beetle without destroying large number of trees, which is almost impossible in cities and mountain conditions
1.29 How genetically adaptable is the pest? Note: is the species polymorphic, with, for example, subspecies, pathotypes? Is it known to have a high mutation rate? This genotypic (and phenotypic) variability facilitates the pest's ability to withstand environmental fluctuations, to adapt to a wider range of habitats, to develop pesticide resistance and to overcome host resistance. not adaptable = 1 very adaptable = 9	6	A. sarta is widespread in the area of its present distribution and is found in mountains up to an altitude of 2000 m. It is considered that the region of origin of the pest is Pakistan and Western India and that it spread then eastwards to Afghanistan and Iran and northwards to the Central Asian countries of the former USSR. The pest continues to increase its distribution area in these countries (Orlinskii et al., 1991). This shows the adaptability of the pest and its capacity to spread.

never = I often = 9

2. Economic Impact Assessment

Identify the potential hosts in the PRA area, noting whether wild or cultivated, field or glasshouse. Consider these in answering the following questions. When performing a PRA on a pest that is transmitted by a vector, consider also any possible damage that the vector may cause. According to the pest and host(s) concerned, it may be appropriate to consider all hosts together in answering the questions once, or else to answer the questions separately for specific hosts.

<u>Note</u> that, for most pest/crop/area combinations, precise economic evaluations are lacking. In this section, therefore, expert judgement is asked to provide an evaluation of the likely scale of impact. Both long-term and short-term effects should be considered for all aspects of economic impact.

provide an evaluation of the likely scale of impact. Both long-term and short-term effects should be considered for all aspects of economic impact.				
**2.1 *How important is economic loss caused by the pest within its	6	A. sarta is one of the most important pests of many forest,		
existing geographic range?		ornamental and fruit deciduous trees in the region of its present		
little importance = 1		distribution. It attacks both stressed and healthy trees of		
very important = 9		different ages leading to their death.		
2.2 How important is environmental damage caused by the pest	8	Because it is a tree-killer, A. sarta is able to alter ecological		
within its existing geographic range?		relationships where its hardwood hosts are important		
Note: environmental damage may be impact on ecosystem health, such as		component of ecosystems. The main environmental impact is		
effects on endangered/threatened species, keystone species or		caused in cities but also in forests (especially in mountains).		
biodiversity.		Usually in these conditions its host trees have a special		
little importance = 1		environmental importance and are difficult to be replaced by		
very important = 9		new trees.		
2.3 How important is social damage caused by the pest within its	6	Because of the difficulty of growing large trees in towns in the		
existing geographic range?		present range of A. sarta, those trees that do exist have a high		
Note: social effects could be, for example, damaging the livelihood of a		social value.		
proportion of the human population, or changing the habits of a				
proportion of the population (e.g. limiting the supply of a socially				
important food).				
little importance = 1				
very important = 9				

2.4 *How extensive is the part of the PRA area likely to suffer damage from the pest? Note: the part of the PRA area likely to suffer damage is the endangered area, which can be defined ecoclimatically, geographically, by crop or by production system (e.g. protected cultivation). very limited = 1 whole PRA area = 9	3	The endangered part of the PRA area covers primarily the Mediterranean region (Albania, Algeria, Croatia, Cyprus, France (South), Greece, Israel, Italy, Jordan, Malta, Morocco, Portugal, Slovenia, Spain, Tunisia, Turkey,) as well as some other southern countries (Bulgaria, Romania, etc.). Within that area susceptible host plants occur throughout.
Spread potential is an important element in determining how fast econo	mic impac	et is expressed and how readily a pest can be contained.
2.5 *How rapidly is the pest liable to spread in the PRA area by natural means? very slowly = 1 very rapidly = 9	3	Natural spread by means of adult flight is limited for this pest. Beetles fly at a relatively high temperatures in the evening and night and only for short distances.
2.6 How rapidly is the pest liable to spread in the PRA area by human assistance? very slowly = 1 very rapidly = 9	6	The pest can be transported and spread with wood and wood products (especially with untreated dunnage and packing materials), planting material and by transport means.
2.7 How likely is it that the spread of the pest could be contained within the PRA area? Note: consider the biological characteristics of the pest that might allow it to be contained in part of the PRA area; consider the practicality and costs of possible containment measures. very likely = 1 not likely = 9	5	Once established, it would be quite difficult to contain the spread of the pest.
2.8 *Considering the ecological conditions in the PRA area, how serious is the direct effect of the pest on crop yield and/or quality likely to be? Note: the ecological conditions in the PRA area may be adequate for pest survival but may not be suitable for significant damage on the host plant(s). Consider also effects on non-commercial crops, e.g. private gardens, amenity plantings. not serious = 1 very serious = 9	6	Considering the similarity of ecological conditions, the direct damage in the PRA area should be not less than in the present area of the pest.
2.9 How likely is the pest to have a significant effect on producer profits due to changes in production costs, yields, etc., in the PRA area? not likely = 1 very likely = 9	5	Similar to the present area of the pest.

2.10 How likely is the pest to have a significant effect on consumer demand in the PRA area?	5	Similar to the present area of the pest.
Note: consumer demand could be affected by loss in quality and/or		
increased prices.		
$not\ likely=1$		
$very\ likely = 9$		
2.11 How likely is the presence of the pest in the PRA area to affect	6	Other parts of the world (e.g. North America) may, the future,
export markets?	Ü	decide to take phytosanitary measures against A. sarta.
Note: consider the extent of any phytosanitary measures likely to be		F-yyy
imposed by trading partners.		
not likely = 1		
$very\ likely = 9$		
2.12 How important would other costs resulting from introduction	3	
be?		
Note: costs to the government, such as research, advice, publicity,		
certification schemes; costs (or benefits) to the crop protection industry.		
little importance = 1		
very important = 9		
2.13 How important is the environmental damage likely to be in the	7	Considering the similarity of ecological conditions and forest
PRA area?		practices, the environmental damage in the PRA area should be
little importance = 1		not less than in the present area of the pest.
very important = 9		
2.14 How important is the social damage likely to be in the PRA	4	This point concerns primarily the social value of large trees in
area?		towns.
little importance = 1		
very important = 9		
2.15 How probable is it that natural enemies, already present in the	8	It could be assumed that the natural enemies present in the
PRA area, will affect populations of the pest if introduced?		existing range of A. sarta are not yet present in the PRA area
very likely = 1		
not likely = 9		
2.16 How easily can the pest be controlled?	8	The practice of A. sarta control in its present area shows that it
<u>Note</u> : difficulty of control can result from such factors as lack of effective		is very difficult to control or eradicate the beetle without
plant protection products against this pest, occurrence of the pest in		destroying large number of trees, which is almost impossible in
natural habitats or amenity land, simultaneous presence of more than one		cities and mountains conditions.
stage in the life cycle, absence of resistant cultivars).		
easily = 1		
with difficulty = 9		

2.17 How likely are control measures to disrupt existing biological	2	
or integrated systems for control of other pests?		
$not\ likely = 1$		
very likely = 9		
2.18 How likely are control measures to have other undesirable	6	Control measures in city conditions risk to have undesirable
side-effects (for example on human health or the environment)?		side-effects on human health and city environment; elsewhere
not likely = 1		such measures could have effects on the environment.
very likely = 9		
2.19 Is the pest likely to develop resistance to plant protection	5	No information on this or related species is available
products?		_
$not\ likely = 1$		
very likely = 9		
After completing this section, the assessor should comment on whether		Information on A. sarta in its present range is considerable. The
sufficient information exists to trust the answers given; or if he/she knows		conclusions of the PRA can, therefore, be considered to be
of other relevant factors that have not been considered in this evaluation		reliable

3. Final Evaluation

At the end of the procedure, the assessor will have at his disposal:

- (1) one or several sets of replies (1-to-9 scores) to questions 1.1-1.13, for one or several pathways (if no pathways have been retained, the probability of introduction will be zero);
- (2) one set of replies (1-to-9 scores) to questions 1.14-1.30;
- (3) one or several sets of replies (1-to-9 scores) to questions 2.1-2.19, for single, grouped or separate hosts (according to the manner of answering which has been chosen).

The assessor should first consider the quality and quantity of the information used to answer the questions, and give an overall judgement of how reliable the pest risk assessment can be considered. If other relevant information is available that has not been considered, this should be noted.

By the means of his choice, the assessor should attempt to make a separate estimate of the probability of introduction of the pest and its probable level of economic impact. As explained in the introduction, these estimates cannot, on the basis of the procedure used in the scheme, be expressed in absolute units. The numerical scores may be combined, weighted and averaged in appropriate ways that may enable the assessor who uses them consistently to make useful comparisons between pests, pathways and hosts. No particular mode of calculation is specifically recommended by EPPO. Certain questions have been identified as more important than others, and the assessor should take due account of this.

The assessor may then combine his estimates of probability of introduction and probable economic impact to formulate a single estimate of pest risk. This may usefully be compared with one or several reference levels of risk to decide whether the pest should be considered to be a quarantine pest, so that phytosanitary measures should be taken against it.

Finally, the scores given in answer to the different sections (particularly that on pathways) may be used again in pest risk management.

Conclusions

The results of the assessment show that the probability of the entry of the *A. sarta* to the PRA area (European part of the EPPO region) is most likely with dunnage or packing material (a mean score of 4.75) and with untreated wood (4.33) and less likely with plants of planting and cut branches (3.42) and with means of transport (3.58); these are not high values. But the probability of establishment is high (a score of 6.5), particularly in a part of the PRA area; the endangered area is primarily the Mediterranean region (Albania, Algeria, Croatia, Cyprus, France (South), Greece, Israel, Italy, Jordan, Malta, Morocco, Portugal, Slovenia, Spain, Tunisia, Turkey,) as well as some other southern countries (Bulgaria, Romania, etc.). The potential impact within the endangered area is also high (a score of 5.37) including both the direct damage to plantations of *Populus*, *Ulmus*, *Platanus*, fruit and other trees, environmental damage to natural forests, and social damage to trees in towns.

The overall comparative risk is shown on the graph below (which plots the probability of introduction with dunnage and packing material against the potential economic impact).

